UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,850	11/02/2001	Sreekumar Pillai	J6673(C)	6359
201 7590 01/18/2007 UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 700 SYLVAN AVENUE, BLDG C2 SOUTH ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100			EXAMINER	
			KANTAMNENI, SHOBHA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	01/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/003,850	PILLAI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shobha Kantamneni	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		•			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 August 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ∑ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,9 and 10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) NONE is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 2, 5-6, 9-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite			

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's statement filed in the appeal brief on 08/24/2006, and in the applicant's response filed on 05/10/2006, that '072 reference, employed in the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is not prior art under 35 USC §103 because the subject matter of the '072 patent and the claimed invention were, at the time the claimed invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person has been considered. Thus, because the '072 reference is not suitable to be relied on, and in view of new prior art, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground(s) of rejection(s) set forth below. All rejections on appeal are withdrawn and therefore moot.

Claims 1, 2, 5-6, and 9-10 are pending and under examination on the merits herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Granger et al. (US 5,723,139, PTO-892 of record) and Fisher et al. (US 6,365,630, PTO-892), in view of Liu et al. (5,976,555, PTO-892 of record), and Surares et al. (US 5,941,116, PTO-1449 of record).

Art Unit: 1617

Granger et al. teach a skin conditioning composition comprising a compound selected from retinal or retinyl ester in an amount from about 0.001 % to about 10 %, in combination with a retinoid booster, polycyclic triterpene carboxylic acid, glycyrretinic acid in an amount from about 0.0001 % to about 50%. See column 1, line 42-column 3, line 39. It is further taught that the combination of retinal or a retinyl ester with a polycyclic triterpine carboxylic acid, glycyrretinic results in synergistic inhibition of keratinocyte differentiation. Retinoid boosters such as linoleic acid, arachidonic acid etc. are also disclosed as optional ingredients in the composition. See column 4, lines 29-38. The composition is applied to the skin for treating a skin conditions such as dry skin, photodamaged skin, appearance of wrinkles, age spots, acne, skin lightening etc. See column 12, claims 1-6. Granger et al. further disclose that the skin care composition therein is stored in a suitable container to form a skin care product. See column 11, EXAMPLE 6-7.

Granger et al. do not specifically teach the presence of phytoestrogens in the composition.

Granger et al. do not teach the storage of first composition comprising retinoid, and second composition comprising, glycyrretinic acid, and phytoestrogens, in separate compartments joined together.

Fisher et al. teach that retinoic acid, and genistein (phytoestrogens) are useful for treating photoaging of skin such as wrinkles, pigmentation etc. See column 1, lines 54-61; column 9, lines 34-35; column 10, lines 27-28; column 20-22, claims 1, 2, 6, 18-25.

Art Unit: 1617

Liu et al. teach that retinoids such as retinal, retinyl ester in skin care compositions are unstable due to oxidation or isomerization to non-efficacious chemical forms with the result that the amount of retinoid actually present to provide the beneficial effects is reduced in a short period of time. See column 2, lines 40-55. It is further taught that several stable compositions for skin care are supplied in two bottles (separating retinoids from other cosmetic ingredients), portions of which are mixed together just prior to use. See column 2, lines 54-62.

Suares et al. teaches a method for a skin treatment regime and product that includes a first composition containing at least one active and functioning to impart a first benefit to skin, and a second composition that includes a second different active and imparts a second benefit to skin (see abstract, in particular.) Suares et al. teaches that the first and second compositions are stored in respective separate containers, which are joined together (see abstract and column 2, lines 1-14, in particular.) Suares et al. teaches that the two compositions are kept separate because single formulations often compromise the performance of the severally combined actives (see column 1, lines 15-25, in particular.) Accordingly, Suares et al. teachings provide a first composition in a first compartment, and a second composition in a second compartment, where the first and second compartments are joined together, as recited in claim 1. Those formulation taught by Suares et al. employ retinoid compositions useful for anti-wrinkle, and sunscreen dermal applications. See column 3, Table I; column 4, lines 59-64; TABLE IIII, column 8, and TABLE IV, column 9. Suares also teach a first composition, a sunscreen composition containing retinoid, and a second

Art Unit: 1617

composition containing cleanser genistein, which are joined together. See TABLE IIII, column 8, and TABLE IV, column 9.

From the teachings of Fisher et al, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add phytoestrogens such as genistein to the compositions of Granger et al. since phytoestrogens are used to treat skin conditions such as wrinkled, photodamaged skin. It is generally considered a prima facia obvious to combine compounds each of which are taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a composition, which is used for the very same purpose. The idea of combining them flows logically from their having been used individually in the prior art. As shown by recited teachings of Granger, and Fisher et al., the instant claims contain compounds retinoids, glycyrretinic acid, and phytoestrogens used for improvement of skin appearance. *In re kirkohoven*, 626 F.2d 848, 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ a two compartment system for separately storing retinal or retinyl ester in a first composition, and retinoid boosters such as glycyrretinic acid, linoleic acid, phosphatidylcholine and phytoestrogens in the second composition.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated at the time of invention to employ two compartments to separately store retinol or retinyl ester, and retinoid booster glycyrretinic acid and phytoestrogens because Liu et al. teach that the skin compositions containing retinol or retinyl esters are

Art Unit: 1617

unstable as they quickly lose their activity by, for example, either being oxidized or isomerizing to non-efficacious chemical forms and chemical degradation. Moreover, several known stable skin care compositions containing retinol or retinyl esters are known to be supplied in two bottles or two portions to separate retinoids from other cosmetic ingredients to keep retinoids from chemical reactions with other ingredients (the first and second compositions are known to be stored in respectively separate compartments or containers, being joined together) and are mixed together just prior to use based on the teachings of Liu. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to employ two compartments for separately storing retinol or retnyl ester in a first composition and retinoid boosters, phytoestrogens in the second composition to keep retinol or retinyl ester from reacting with retinoid boosters and phytoestrogens in order to preserve the stability of retinoids in the compositions to avoid chemical degradation, and keep retinoids from chemical reactions with other ingredients to avoid chemical degradation.

Further, Suares et al. also employ a dual container system for multi composition use, and teaches the desirability of providing the two compartment product to maximize the effectiveness of the separate compositions, and teaches retinoids in one of the compositions. Those formulations taught by Suares et al employ retinoic acid one compositions, and phytoestrogen, genistein in another composition which are joined together useful for dermal application (see column 4, line 24). Possessing this teaching the skilled artisan would have been further motivated to employ the dual container dermal administration system for the

application of dermal medicaments, while enjoying those benefits inherent in sequential application as set forth in Suares et al. claim 1. The skilled artisan

would have seen the separate packaging teachings Suares et al. useful for

individual application of dermal retinoic acid compositions, and the administration

of these compositions dermally and individually as residing in the skilled artisan

purview.

Regarding the recitation that the components of the second composition act as "boosting the first benefit", as recited in the claims, it is noted that the boosting activity of a compound is a property thereof, and a product and its properties are inseparable. In re Papesch, 315 F.2d 381,137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963). Accordingly, the composition rendered obvious by the combined references would, absent evidence to the contrary, meet the limitations pertaining to the retinoid boosting activity of the compound used therein.

Thus, the teachings of Liu in particular and Suares et al. have clearly provided the motivation to employ the separate compartments herein.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Granger et al. (US 5,723,139, PTO-892), Fisher et al. (US 6,365,630, PTO-892), and Meybeck (FR 2 777 179; PTO-892) in view of Liu et al. (5,976,555, PTO-892), and Suares et al. (US 5,941,116, PTO-1449 of record).

Granger et al., and Fisher et al. are as discussed above.

Granger et al. do not teach the presence of phosphatidylcholine in the composition.

Art Unit: 1617

Granger et al. do not teach the storage of first composition comprising retinoid, and second composition comprising retinoid boosters, phytoestrogens, in separate compartments joined together.

Meybeck et al. teach cosmetic and skin care compositions comprising retinoid, retinoid boosters such as 22-29 % of phosphatidyl choline, 0.1 % of glycyrretinic acid. These compositions can be used for treating skin conditions such as acne, dry skin, etc. See page 3, lines 24-27; pages 8-9, Examples 1-2.; page 13, Example 11.

From the teachings of Meybeck et al., it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add retinoid booster, phosphatidyl choline to the compositions of the Granger et al., since phosphatidyl choline is used to treat skin conditions such as dry skin, aged skin, acne etc. From the teachings of Fisher et al., it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add phytoestrogen such as genistein to the compositions of Granger et al. since phytoestrogens are used to treat skin conditions such as wrinkled, photodamaged skin. It is generally considered a prima facia obvious to combine compounds each of which are taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a composition, which is used for the very same purpose. The idea of combining them flows logically from their having been used individually in the prior art. As shown by recited teachings of Granger, Fisher et al., and Meybeck et al., the instant claims contain compounds such as retinoids, phosphatidyl choline,

Art Unit: 1617

glycyrretinic acid and phytoestrogens used for improvement of skin appearance. *In re kirkohoven*, 626 F.2d 848, 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ a two compartment system for separately storing retinal or retinyl ester in a first composition, and retinoid boosters such as glycyrretinic acid, linoleic acid, phosphatidylcholine and phytoestrogens in the second composition.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated at the time of invention to employ two compartments to separately store retinol or retinyl ester, and retinoid booster glycyrretinic acid and phytoestrogens because Liu et al. teach that the skin compositions containing retinol or retinyl esters are unstable as they quickly lose their activity by, for example, either being oxidized or isomerizing to non-efficacious chemical forms and chemical degradation. Moreover, several known stable skin care compositions containing retinol or retinyl esters are known to be supplied in two bottles or two portions to separate retinoids from other cosmetic ingredients to keep retinoids from chemical reactions with other ingredients (the first and second compositions are known to be stored in respectively separate compartments or containers, being joined together) and are mixed together just prior to use based on the teachings of Liu. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to employ two compartments for separately storing retinol or retnyl ester in a first composition and retinoid boosters, phytoestrogens in the second composition to keep retinol or retinyl ester from reacting with retinoid boosters and

phytoestrogens in order to preserve the stability of retinoids in the compositions to avoid chemical degradation, and keep retinoids from chemical reactions with other ingredients to avoid chemical degradation.

Further, Suares et al. also employ a dual container system for multi composition use, and teaches the desirability of providing the two compartment product to maximize the effectiveness of the separate compositions, and teaches retinoids in one of the compositions. Those formulations taught by Suares et al employ retinoic acid one compositions, and phytoestrogen, genistein in another composition which are joined together useful for dermal application (see column 4, line 24). Possessing this teaching the skilled artisan would have been further motivated to employ the dual container dermal administration system for the application of dermal medicaments, while enjoying those benefits inherent in sequential application as set forth in Suares et al. claim 1. The skilled artisan would have seen the separate packaging teachings Suares et al. useful for individual application of dermal retinoic acid compositions, and the administration of these compositions dermally and individually as residing in the skilled artisan purview.

Thus, the teachings of Liu in particular and Suares et al. have clearly provided the motivation to employ the separate compartments herein.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shobha Kantamneni whose telephone number is 571-272-2930. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supérvisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shobha Kantamneni, Ph.D Patent Examiner

Art Unit :1617*

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER